

Archived at the Flinders Academic Commons: http://dspace.flinders.edu.au/dspace/

'This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Clemence Due and Damien W. Riggs (2016). Care for Children with Migrant or Refugee Backgrounds in the School Context. Children Australia, 41, pp 190-200. doi:10.1017/cha.2016.24.

which has been published in final form at DOI:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/cha.2016.24

Copyright © The Author(s) 2016

Care for children with migrant or refugee backgrounds in the school context

Dr Clemence Due (corresponding author)

School of Psychology

The University of Adelaide

Adelaide, Australia, 5005

Email: clemence.due@adelaide.edu.au

Phone: +618 313 6096

Dr Damien W. Riggs School of Social Work and Social Policy Flinders University

Keywords - refugees, migrant, children, education

ABSTRACT

While teachers are increasingly being asked to provide 'care' for students in their classrooms, very little research has explored what care might look like for students with migrant or refugee backgrounds. This paper reports on the findings of a study conducted with children when they began school in Australia in the Intensive English Language Program (IELP), with a focus on how care might be provided and defined. Participants were 63 migrant or refugee children (15 refugee students and 48 migrant students; 35 males and 28 females) aged between five and 13 years of age (M = 7.40 years, SD = 2.39), and 14 IELP teachers (10 women and four men). The aims of the broader study of which this paper forms one part were to explore experiences at school through a mixed-methods, participatory methodology. The current paper takes a deductive approach, and focuses specifically on the relationships between students and teachers as one dimension of care for students. We found that students had positive relationships with their teachers, and reported feeling safe at school. Teachers reported

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

some challenges in relation to their relationships with students, particularly in the case of students with refugee backgrounds. We suggest that the concept of care for children with refugee and migrant backgrounds needs further work, particularly in mainstream education settings.

Introduction

As the numbers of refugees and asylum seekers increase world-wide – 50% of whom are typically children under the age of 18 - research is increasingly considering how to best provide care and support for newly arrived children as they enter resettlement countries (e.g., Newbigging & Thomas, 2011). One of the primary sites in which care and support may occur is within school environments, where children and young people from either refugee or migrant backgrounds may first develop relationships with both peers and adults in their new country (Block, Cross, Riggs, & Gibbs, 2014, Correa-Velez, Gifford & Barnett 2010, Keddie, 2012, de Heer, Due, Riggs, & Augoustinos, 2015, Due, Riggs, & Mandara, 2015). Moreover, teachers themselves are increasingly indicating that 'caring for' their students - including those with refugee or migrant backgrounds - is becoming an expected and explicitly identified component of their work (Chapman et al, 2013) although many teachers report feeling under-equipped to engage with 'care' in this way (Due, et al., 2015). Given these two converging issues in schools (specifically, the location of schools as at the forefront of providing care for refugee students, and the challenges teachers may face in providing such care), this paper explores the concept of care at school from the perspective of both refugee or migrant students and their teachers, primarily through the lens of the student-teacher relationship. As such, an overarching aim of this paper is to consider how care may look for students with refugee or migrant backgrounds and their teachers in terms of their relationships, and how such definitions of care can be drawn upon in policy and practice.

Before considering the literature concerning experiences of education for refugee or migrant students, and experiences of teachers in engaging with this cohort of students, it is important to first consider the concept of care. As noted, one of the aims of the current

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

paper is to consider how care may look from the perspective of teachers and children with refugee or migrant backgrounds, and thus we do not explicitly adopt a model of care in the introduction of this paper. Nevertheless, it is important to engage with the body of literature on care to provide context to our own research. Like the psychological construct of wellbeing, care frequently remains undefined in academic literature (Held, 2006; Monchinski, 2010). Where care *is* explicitly defined in research, definitions frequently revolve around issues such as best practice at an organisational level, meeting individual needs, and enabling people to do well in their environment (e.g., see Steckley and Smith, 2011; Barnes, 2007). As such, available definitions of care typically reflect the importance of social relationships in enabling people to develop positive levels of wellbeing, as well as a focus on how organisations responsible for care can meet their requirements for service delivery.

In addition to the small amount of literature concerning definitions of care, there is very little literature that considers the concept of care for refugee or migrant children specifically. In one example of such work, the aforementioned focus on institutional best-practice for care is reflected in a paper concerning good practice for social care for refugee children in the United Kingdom (UK) (Newbigging & Thomas, 2011). In this paper, Newbigging and Thomas highlight the importance of models of good care for refugee children, outlining six elements for organisational delivery of good social care. These are: 1) organisational commitment to promoting wellbeing, 2) multi-agency partnerships, 3) local strategies developed according to specific needs assessments, 4) engaging with and involving refugees in the development of services, 5) workforce development, and 6) monitoring and review. While these guidelines offer useful outlines for the provision of (in this case social) care for refugee children, they do not provide an overview of precisely what they mean by care at an individual level. Indeed, there remains very little literature which explicitly outlines care for refugee or migrant children, with most related literature focusing on either an institutional level (as seen in the case of Newbigging and Thomas), or an individual level in the form of mental health and wellbeing, or mental health interventions (e.g., Ehntholt, Smith, & Yule, 2005). While such research covers elements of care for refugee or migrant children, there remains a

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

gap in the literature that focuses on care in and of itself, particularly from the perspective of children with refugee or migrant backgrounds themselves.

In terms of research concerning care in schools, authors such as Noddings (1992) have noted that schools play an important role in care for children, and that care should be foregrounded in addition to focusing on achievement. In terms of practical outcomes of such an argument, Noddings suggests that schools should modify practice such that caring school environments involve structures such as small classes, a curriculum that involves a focus on students' unique interests, skills or needs, and time and space for students to become familiar with the school environment and the other people within it. Similarly, a review of the literature conducted by Velasquez and colleagues (2013) also found that much of the literature concerning care in schools has highlighted the need to create caring spaces that may reflect students' identities and allow them to develop nurturing relationships with others. Importantly, much of this research notes that consideration should be given to student understandings of care, and student perceptions of their relationships with their teachers. This focus on care in terms of relationships is particularly important for students with refugee backgrounds, who may bring particular expectations (such as those relating to forms of punishment and control) that may impede relationships if time is not put into getting to know individual students (Baak, 2016).

As such, care at school arguably centrally involves relationships; frequently of that between the student and their teacher (Velasquez et al., 2013). A relatively large body of literature exists which highlights that positive student-teacher relationships are critical for wellbeing at school, and can go some way towards counteracting the effects of poor family relationships or other risk factors for all children (e.g., see Hamre and Pianta, 2005). Furthermore, good relationships between students and teachers have been found to contribute to emotional regulation and pro-social behaviour (Dockett & Perry, 2003), as well as high levels of school belonging and engagement (Isik-Ircan, 2015; Klem & Connell, 2004; Ryan & Patrick, 2001). In the case of refugee or migrant students specifically, teacher relationships at school may be one of the first community

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

connections formed in a new country, and thus may be particularly important (Baker, 2006; Martin et al., 2012; Bedir, 2010; Due, et al., 2015; Walton, Priest and Paradies, 2013). Indeed, previous research with refugee or migrant students and teachers has found that positive relationships between this cohort of students and their teachers are critically important for students' sense of belonging and academic outcomes in their new school, and facilitate peer relationships within the classroom (Pugh, Every, & Hattam, 2012; de Heer, Due, & Riggs, 2015). Furthermore, research from both Australia and elsewhere has found that where teachers hold negative or discriminatory attitudes, students affected receive lower grades, and leave school earlier, than their peers (Isik-Ercan, 2015; Zine, 2006; Walton, Priest and Paradies, 2013).

However, despite the importance of student-teacher relationships, previous research has found that teachers often report challenges in relation to working with students with refugee or migrant backgrounds, particularly in the context of increasingly diverse classrooms (Due, et al., 2015). For example, while teachers are often encouraged to create positive relationships with students, they report receiving little support or training in how to do so, particularly in relation to balancing relationship-building with keeping professional levels of 'distance' from students (Chapman et al, 2013; Nickerson et al, 2011; Gilligan, 2000). Previous research considering the experiences of educators working with refugee or migrant students has shown that teachers frequently report feeling ill-equipped to provide education to students from culturally diverse backgrounds, particularly where they may have complex backgrounds of trauma and little previous formal education (see for example Brown, Miller & Mitchell, 2006; Whiteman, 2005; McEachron and Bhatti, 2005; Matthews, 2008; Due, et al., 2015). It is plausible that such difficulties faced by teachers may translate into difficulties building relationships with students with refugee or migrant backgrounds, which may in turn impact upon the level of care provided to refugee students in the school environment.

While individual student-teacher relationships are important for a range of outcomes in refugee or migrant students, research in relation to this cohort of students and education has also highlighted the importance of whole-school approaches. Within

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

whole-school approaches to education, the broader school community work care for refugee and migrants students into its everyday policy and practice. For example, Taylor and Sidhu (2012) found that schools in Australia committed to refugee education provided holistic approaches to supporting students, including through homework clubs, material support, and extra learning support. Similar findings have been found in other research (see, for example, Keddie, 2012; Pugh, et al., 2012). While these researchers do not use the language of 'care', it is possible that such holistic approaches do play an important role in ensuring that refugee and migrant students feel 'cared for' in the school environment, and this is further supported since such education models reflect the best-practice example of social care provided previously by Newbigging and Thomas (2011).

While there is a relatively large body of research which has considered student-teacher relationships for refugee and migrant students, and some research which has theorised how 'care' might look for marginalised young people, there is very little research which has engaged with calls for 'care' within education for students with migrant or refugee backgrounds. This is problematic since, and as argued by Noddings (1992), one of the challenges of exploring care is that understandings and behaviours relating to care differ greatly depending on context. For some people and in some circumstances, care will require toughness and adherence to rules and structure, which in others it may require tenderness. As such, an examination of care from the perspective of those being cared for is important. As such, this paper reports on the student-teacher relationships for students with migrant or refugee backgrounds in an Intensive English Language Program (IELP) in South Australia, with the aim of considering how students and teachers define 'care', and the implications of this for educational service provision.

Method

This paper forms part of a larger study which aimed to explore broad experiences of education, wellbeing and identity for students with migrant or refugee backgrounds in

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

South Australia. The methodology relevant to the current paper is provided here, although reference to the larger study is made as appropriate.

The Setting: The Intensive English Language Program in South Australia

Education provision for students for whom English is an additional language and who arrive as a migrant or refugee in Australia is varied. In South Australia, the Department of Education and Child Development (DECD) run an Intensive English Language Program (IELP), consisting of Intensive English Language Classes (IELCs) within staterun primary schools. The primary emphasis in these centres is on the acquisition of English for social interaction, cultural training, and academic English literacy skills, provided by specialist teachers. Time spent in the IELC before transition into a mainstream class varies, depending on a child's readiness in relation to their English language competency. Typically, children spend 12 months in the program if they are from a migrant background, and students from refugee backgrounds are eligible for an automatic extension on this time if required.

Three IELP sites participated in this study. It should be noted that the three sites in question were all located in metropolitan Adelaide with 15 kilometres from the Central Business District, and while their student numbers and site environments are typical of all schools with IELCs, the schools are situated in medium socio-economic areas and therefore may not be representative of all schools in South Australia.

Participants

Teacher participants were 14 IELP educators (that is, both teachers, principals and support staff), all of whom were in close contact with migrant and refugee students in their IELC (defined as working with students in classrooms on a daily basis).. More specifically, four of the participants were in leadership positions with schools, while the remaining ten were teachers or support staff (for example, bi-lingual school support officers). Ten teacher participants were women, and four were men.

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

The refugee and migrant student sample consisted of 63 children (15 with refugee backgrounds, and 48 with migrant backgrounds). Student participants came from 22 countries of origin: Afghanistan, Bangladesh, China, Columbia, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Malaysia, Mongolia, Nepal, Pakistan, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Korea, Sri Lanka, Syria, Venezuela, Vietnam, and Zambia. The mean age of participants was 7.4 years old, ranging from 5 years old to 13 years old at the start of the study. Forty-eight of the participants were from migrant background, and 15 were from refugee backgrounds. Thirty-five were male, and 28 female.

As a matter of terminology, we also wish to acknowledge in this paper that we are examining two potentially very different groups of children in considering education for children with migrant backgrounds, and children with refugee backgrounds (and see Ogbu 1978 for a discussion of the important differences between minority or marginalized groups in relation to culture and education). However, given that the context in which they are educated provides English language tuition for both groups of children (that is, they are in the same class rather than different ones), our paper, for the most part, does not differentiate between these two groups.

Procedure and Materials

Ethics approval was granted by The University of Adelaide's Human Research Ethics Committee, and the Department of Education and Child Development (DECD) in South Australia. It is important to note that the authors are aware of the ethical issues of working with this vulnerable group of young people, including issues such as gaining ongoing assent from children in addition to informed consent for parents and caregivers (Due, Riggs & Augoustinos, 2014; Gifford et al. 2007; Crivello, Camfield, & Woodhead, 2009). As such, the first author (who undertook the data collection) spent a term at each school involved in the study in order to build rapport with participants, to let them

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

know about the aims of the study, and to gain ongoing assent from them for their participation (see Due et al., 2014; Gifford et al. 2007; Crivello et al. 2009).

In terms of participant recruitment, information sheets and consent forms (translated into first languages) were sent home to the parents or caregivers of all students enrolled in the IELC, with the exception of some families where it was considered inappropriate to do so (for example where teachers were aware of family violence within the home, or where families were from a refugee background with very high levels of trauma present). In the case of teachers, all teachers and principals at the three schools in question were provided with an information sheet, and invited to participate in the study.

Student data collection

The data collection relevant to this paper consisted of a photo elicitation methodology, with accompanying interviews. Photo elicitation, or PhotoVoice, is a research technique which has been identified as a child-focussed, flexible approach to research that allows children's views to be communicated on their own terms in the research process (Darbyshire, MacDougall, & Schiller, 2005; Due, et al., 2014). Photo elicitation involves participants being provided with a camera (in this case, a digital camera) and asked to take photos according to a particular theme that relates to the research aims.

For the purposes of our research, students were asked to take photographs that represented their experiences at school, particularly in relation to place or people where they felt safe. The students were then shown their photographs on a laptop, and invited to discuss their images in either a focus group of up to three children or in an individual interview. Whether discussions took place in focus groups or individual interviews was determined by external factors, such as what was happening in the classroom at the time, whether or not an interpreter was needed, and ensuring that the discussion did not disrupt the child's lessons. All discussion took place at the child's school. Focus

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

groups and interviews relating to the photographs were audio recorded and transcribed, with student's names changed for anonymity.

Teacher data collection

Teacher data was collected through both a questionnaire (n = 14 responses) and face-to-face interviews (n = 6 interviews). The questionnaires were administered first, with interviews following in order to gather more in-depth data. All IELP staff at the three IELC sites were invited to complete the questionnaire and participate in an interview. A total of 24 staff (including Principals and IELC directors) were invited to complete the questionnaire and participate in an interview, leading to a response rate of 58% for the questionnaire and 25% for the interview. In order to preserve anonymity for the questionnaire (given the small participant cohort), demographic data was not requested. Participants who completed an interview included one male and five females, with on average over 5 years experience working in an IELP. Participants returned their questionnaires in a reply-paid envelope, and interviews were conducted on the school grounds at a time convenient to the participant.

The interview questions were designed to stimulate discussion regarding the educators' experiences and perceptions of the IELP. A semi-structured interview schedule was developed in order to meet the broader research aims (Braun and Clarke, 2006), and included questions such as: 'What are some of the strengths or challenges of having an IELC site at the school'?, 'What types of support do children from in the IELC need?' and 'How does you school provide support to children in the IELC'?. In addition, open-ended questions included in the questionnaire specifically sought responses concerning educators' thoughts on the IELP, culturally diversity, and on identifying and meeting the needs of newly arrived students. For the purposes of the current paper, the interviews and open-ended written survey responses were combined and treated as one data-set.

Analysis

A deductive thematic analysis was utilized to analyse the data, given that the purpose of the paper was to explore care from the perspective of teachers and students.

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

Specifically, the data was analysed specifically in relation to the research aim of

considering how participants might define 'care'. The thematic analysis pertaining to

definitions of care was conducted following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six analytic

stages including: reading and familiarisation, coding, searching for themes, reviewing

themes and producing a thematic map, naming and defining themes and finalising the

analysis through writing. As with most qualitative research, this process was not linear

but iterative, and stages were revisited as analysis progressed. The final thematic

structure received consensus from all authors. Representative extracts illustrating these

themes are provided below. Participants were given pseudonyms.

Results

The results section of this paper is divided into two sections: one detailing the results

from the interviews and photo elicitation with students with migrant or refugee

backgrounds, and one detailing the results from teacher interviews. Within each of these

sections, we also outline sub-themes.

Interviews and photo elicitation with students with refugee or migrant

backgrounds

Students with refugee or migrant backgrounds highlighted two themes that related to

'care' within the school environment. Specifically, these were: Relationships with

classroom teachers and other staff make students feel safe and A caring environment is

one in which students can see that their identities and experiences are reflected in school

practice.

These themes are outlined below with representative photographs and extracts. As we

cannot show faces due to ethical concerns, photographs which are not identifiable have

been chosen for use in this paper.

Relationships with classroom teachers and other staff make students feel safe

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia

Copyright Cambridge University Press

In terms of teacher relationships, almost all the students in the study took either took photographs of their classroom teachers or spoke about them in a positive way in the interviews. When asked to tell the researchers about photographs that contained images of teachers, the students explained that they had taken them because they liked their teachers, enjoyed spending time with them, or looked forward to seeing them in the yard or after recess or lunchtime. An example of this can be seen in Figure 1 and Extract 1 below:

INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

Extract 1:

Interviewer: "Can you tell me about this photograph?"

Peng: "That is Miss Julie!!! I like to see her in the yard."

Interviewer: "That's great! Can you tell me why you like to see her?"

Peng: "Yes. It makes me feel safe to see her. She is kind to me."

Here, Peng (a 6 year old boy from China with a migrant background) indicates that seeing his classroom teacher in the yard makes him feel safe, and explains that this is because she is kind to her. While many students similarly identified their classrooms teachers as being particularly important in making them feel safe, some students also took photographs of other teachers they knew (such as teachers who taught their siblings), and similarly noted that they liked to see these teachers in the yard because it made them feel 'safe' or 'happy'. This finding is important because the students in the study occasionally reported finding recess and lunchtime difficult due to the fact that it was an un-structured time (and see Due & Riggs, 2011 for another example of newly arrived students finding recess and lunch times challenging), and thus the presence of their classroom teacher or another teacher they were familiar with may be an important aspect of feeling safe at school outside the classroom environment. Of course, this would only be relevant when their classroom teacher or a teacher they knew is "on duty" and thus in the yard, and as such, building relationships with all teachers in the school may

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

be an important step to facilitating care for students with migrant and refugee backgrounds at school – a point we take up further in the Discussion.

A further example concerning the importance of relationships between students and their teachers is seen in Extract 2 below, this time taken from an interview with a Qaseem, a 7 year old boy with a refugee background from Iraq:

Extract 2

Interviewer: What do you like best about school?

Qaseem: I like my teacher! That is a big thing that I like about school. The... the big thing. She always gives me stickers. And then we get to have.... when we finish our work we get to have a toy. It makes me feel excited to think I might be able to get a toy for my work from her.

It is important to note that Qaseem didn't speak the same language as any other student in his class, and required an interpreter for his interview. As such, we argue that his relationship with his classroom teacher was particularly important since he had limited peer relationships at the time. Furthermore, it is important that this relationship could be established based on areas that did not rely on English. This is seen in the extract above whereby Qaseem points to actions which don't rely on English - stickers and a toy reward – as evidence for why he likes his teacher, presumably because these actions allow him to build a relationship which may otherwise be difficult due to English language constraints. Of course, stickers and a toy reward may also be important to Qassem as recognition of his school work and for their intrinsic value as a reward, thereby highlighting other important elements of the student-teacher relationships: namely, the importance placed by students on their relationships with teachers who employed positive strategies in the classroom to build relationships, and to reward good work and behaviour.

A caring environment is one in which students can see that their identities and experiences are reflected in school practice.

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

As noted by the authors in forthcoming papers from the broader research project (authors, 2016), we found that students frequently took photographs of spaces within the school that reflected their identities as refugees or migrants, or foregrounded their own skills and expertise. Such photographs included photos of posters that reflected refugee experiences (such as posters promoting refugee day), and spaces in the school where they could showcase their strengths, such as music, art or sport. This finding is also relevant to the current paper on care within the school environment, such that students indicated that not only did they feel that such spaces made them for a sense of belonging, but also that they made them feel cared for. An example of this can be seen in Extract 3 below, from Maryam, 9 year old student with a migrant background from Pakistan, discussing a photograph she took of her classroom:

Extract 3:

Interviewer: Is this a photograph of your classroom? Why did you take a photo of your classroom?

Maryam: Because I like talking to new persons, my teacher. I like sharing stuff from home too. To tell people about me.

Interviewer: So do you get to bring things from home and share things in your classroom sometimes?

Maryam: Yes, I bring today some science. First I put water and then things and see if they sink. And then I put three teaspoons and in the glass and then the egg in and it float.

Interviewer: wow! That's very tricky. And in your class do you have fun?

Maryam: Yes, like when I get to show the people things that I can do. Like my teacher.

Here, Maryam indicates that she feels a sense of connection to her school when she is able to share information about herself. This is particularly the case for her teacher, with Maryam indicating that she enjoyed participating in the sharing activity because it allowed her to show her teacher things that she "can do". While Maryam does not directly discuss feeling cared for, we suggest that the relationship-building capacity of sharing information about students also builds on a sense of 'care' at school.

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

A further example of the importance of the environment in relation to feeling 'cared for' at school can be seen in Figure 2 and Extract 4 below, from a Ali, a seven year old student with a refugee background from Iran.

INSERT FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE

Extract 4:

Interviewer: Why did you take this photograph?

Ali: It's the art room. I love art. I want to be an artist when I grow up. It is good for me to do art because I could do it before I came to Australia

Interviewer: So do you like doing art at school?

Ali: Yes! I love art. Art is the best thing for me to do at school. I have friends in the art room. I like to come to school on art days

As noted elsewhere (Gifford, Correa-Velez, & Sampson, 2009, de Heer, et al., 2015), subjects such as art and sport are particularly important for students with migrant or refugee backgrounds as they allow them to share their knowledge and skills in the school context. This photograph and extract illustrate this point again, and highlights that such subjects also facilitate the development of friendships at school, as noted specifically by Ali above. Ali notes that art is the "best thing" for him at school, and that he "likes to come to school on art days", indicating that the ability to share skills in areas such as art allows students to feel a sense of connection to school which they may otherwise not be able to establish through subjects which they may have less skills in (and specifically in this case, English). Again, while not directly about care, we argue that the extract further points to the importance of being able to share skills or values at school to students with migrant or refugee backgrounds feeling 'cared for' at school. This is a point we take up further in the Discussion.

Interviews with teachers

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

The interview with teachers returned four main themes: specifically, Relationship-

building with students takes time and space, It is important that the broader school

environment provides 'care' for children, The IELP offers a best-practice environment for

'care' for children with migrant or refugee backgrounds due to specialist teacher

knowledge, and, It is important to provide extra care for refugee children. Again, these

themes are outlined below with representative extracts.

Relationship-building with students takes time and space

The teachers interviewed in the study all noted that it was very important that they had

the time and space to build relationships, and that this often required specialist skills -

particularly in relation to cross-cultural safety and understanding trauma. Examples of

this are seen in Extracts 5 and 6 below:

Extract 5:

Intensive English Language Centre teachers are a really committed, positive group

of people. They have the skills to build relationships with the children and to share

this knowledge with other teachers so that they know how to teach and look after

children for whom English is an Additional Language. (Intensive English

Language Program director).

Extract 6:

So long as I have smaller class sizes, I can make sure I really get to know my

students individually. Its really important because they all have individual needs,

and especially with trauma, sometimes you just don't know what is going on for

them in the classroom. (Intensive English Language Program teacher).

Here, the teacher and director interviewed highlight the importance of ensuring that

teachers within the IELP are able to build individual relationships, and understand the

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia

Copyright Cambridge University Press

specific needs of their students – and this is noted in Extract 6 as being particularly the case for students who may be experiencing the ongoing effects of psychological trauma.

As such, and similarly to the students themselves, teachers in the IELP noted the central $\,$

value of relationships for providing care for students.

While these two extracts do not specify feelings of safety (which were seen as central to

the students themselves, as noted above), teachers were aware that students needed

time to work through any issues they were facing. For example:

Extract 7:

Each child is an individual, and needs individual support and care. And that child

will not be the same child in 6 months. We've had children who run, who kick, who

hide under tables, who just shut down when you speak to them. We've had children

who cried for 3 months. You know, all of those settlement issues, and once they

work out some language the settle down and the real child comes out. The

challenge is to understand that – that is not necessarily a naughty child. It's a child

that is working things out. (Intensive English Language Program teacher)

Here, an IELP teacher discusses the importance of teachers working with students from

refugee or migrant backgrounds being aware of the individual differences and impact of

trauma which may lead to particular behaviours. As such, in addition to noting that

relationship-building required time and space to develop, teachers in the study also

highlighted the importance of understanding what might influence individual student behaviours. Again, while all teachers didn't specify these actions as being about care *per*

se (although the teacher in Extract 7 does specifically use the word 'care'), we would

argue that this knowledge and attitudes are central to the care of refugee and migrant

students at school, and form an integral part of student-teacher relationships.

It is important that the broader school environment provides 'care' for children

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia

Copyright Cambridge University Press

copyright cambridge offiversity riess

In addition to discussing individual factors, the teachers in the study also commented on the systematic challenges to parents and children in the school context, and the ways in which the school attempted to provide support to families at a holistic level. For example:

Extract 8:

...we invest quite a bit of time and effort in helping parents to understand the school system in Australia. For example, parents might have particular expectations about how school should be – how they are used to – and that can create difficulties, although we do anticipate that and hold sessions that explain what we do and how we do it. We find that if parents understand the school system it makes it much easier for the kids to settle in. (Intensive English Language Program Director)

It is worth noting that these programs or information sessions were school-based rather than conducted at Department level, and were thus up to individual IELP staff to organise and run. However, all three of the individual schools included in this study highlighted developing such sessions and the importance of them to relationship-building. Correspondingly, teachers noted the importance of holistic approaches, that include staff, parents and children, to ensuring a smooth transition to school for children with migrant or refugee backgrounds. This aspect of 'care' for this cohort of children echoes the importance of institutional responses highlighted by Newbigging and Thomas (2011), and we discuss it further later in the paper.

The IELP offers a best-practice environment for 'care' for children with migrant or refugee backgrounds due to specialist teacher knowledge

Consistent with the importance placed on institutional responses, the IELP itself was seen as being particularly well-placed to provide education and care for children with migrant and refugee children. For example:

Extract 9:

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

... I've always thought of it as being a 'safe landing'. When they come straight off the plane or the boat, and they are not hitting a mainstream school straight away, they are hitting a school which scaffolds everything they do. And they are coming into a school with other children who are experiencing the same issues with regard to cultural change and potentially trauma. So they don't feel like a goldfish in a bowl so much. That, and the fact that there are smaller classes, and the sharing of the issues that are quite unique to them. You know, other children understand. (Intensive English Language Program teacher)

Another teacher described this as a lovely "ease-in" for students, with consensus amongst the research participants that the IELP was the optimal place in which to both care and education students with migrant or refugee backgrounds. This was seen as being particularly the case since the program has specialised staff who understood students' needs. For example:

Extract 10:

The important part of having a new arrivals is that settlement period for every child. You know, those children haven't chosen to come here. They are happy, excited, scared, but we need to know how to support them. It takes a special teacher to be able to do that, teachers with experience in knowing that it will take some time to get to know a child, especially if they don't speak English. (Intensive English Language Program teacher)

Again, while 'care' is not specifically mentioned in these extracts, the teachers do discuss 'support' and knowledge concerning cultural differences and trauma. Here, it is arguable that care is seen as best-practice in relation to knowledge about students with refugee or migrant backgrounds, and an environment which is design to meet their needs. As such, teachers identified that the IELP provided a good mix of both individual and institutional responses to best-practice to support and 'scaffold' students with migrant or refugee backgrounds – a response we argue provides one definition of care for this cohort of young people.

It is important to provide extra 'care' for refugee children

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

Finally, the teachers in the study also discussed the importance of providing extra care and support for children with refugee backgrounds, particularly in relation to possible trauma. For example:

Extract 11:

...with refugee kids you also have the effects of trauma. You know, children who are really withdrawn and quiet or on the other hand, children who are really hyperaroused. Here, the classes are really settled, we are lucky. So when students come with a really traumatic background, they tend to experience that calm and support which makes a big difference. In other classes I've been in, there can be a lot of unsettled behaviour and it can be like putting out spot-fires all day long. You don't know what it was that sparked behaviour or a child being upset – it could just be a look. Here, we can prepare and protect them which is really important to looking after them... (Intensive English Language Program teacher)

In this case, 'care' is arguably defined in the last sentence of the extract, specifically in relation to being able to "prepare and protect" children with refugee backgrounds. Again, care is seen as a mix of both institutional and individual responses, here seen in relation to the need to consider the broader culture within a given classroom (that is, it being "calm" and "settled") when attempting to work with refugee students.

Interesting, while – as seen above – the IELP was seen as the right environment for students due to it being a 'safe landing', participants did highlight that this wasn't the case for refugee students. Indeed, one participant noted quite the opposite:

Extract 12:

For refugee students, its not a soft landing for them. It's really challenging. If they have trauma, once they are settled here they still have all of that to cope with. Our teachers need to be able to support them, and recognise when those triggers start, how to deal with those.

The response to the challenge facing students with refugee backgrounds is seen here as lying in teacher knowledge and expertise (that is, knowing how to deal with triggers for

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

trauma). As such, we would argue that again 'care' for refugee students is seen as lying predominately in trained staff, presumably including staff with an individual capacity and desire to 'care' for refugee students.

Discussion

As noted above, the students with migrant or refugee backgrounds overwhelmingly reported positive relationships with their teachers while in the IELP, and highlighted that these relationships both increased their enjoyment at school, and their sense of safety. As suggested by the photographs taken by the students, it would appear that teachers played a strong and positive role in students' sense of community, safety and care at the school. While students didn't specifically use the language of 'care', we suggest here that relationships with classroom and support staff play a central role in ensuring that children with migrant or refugee backgrounds feel 'cared for' at school, and this supports previous research (e.g., Velasquez, et al., 2013). This was also reflected in the analysis of teacher responses, which highlighted the importance of being able to support students, and to understand the impact that experiences of trauma may have on their behaviour. This is perhaps not a surprising finding, and supports a large amount of previous research concerning the importance of student-teacher relationships in both refugee and migrant students (Baker, 2006; Martin et al., 2012; Bedir, 2010; Due, et al., 2015; Walton, Priest and Paradies, 2013) and other groups of students more generally (Hamre & Pianta, 2005).

As noted above, students in the IELP reported feeling particularly safe at recess and lunchtime if their classroom teacher was in the yard. Other research has indicated that students from migrant or refugee backgrounds may find 'play' times at school particularly challenging (Due & Riggs, 2011), and while the students in this study did not specify this *per se*, we suggest that their responses indicated that they felt most cared for when they could see someone they recognised in the school yard. While the practice varied across schools, most schools with IELPs did use a "buddy class" system (e.g., pairing an IELP class with a 'mainstream' class), and such initiatives are likely to be

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

particularly important for students with migrant and refugee backgrounds, in order to ensure that they are able to build positive relationships with teachers across the school, and feel safe in all school contexts.

Students also spoke about relationships with teachers in terms of elements of their relationships which didn't rely on English language knowledge – in this case, the use of stickers and toys as rewards. Previous research has similarly highlighted the importance of building relationships through non-English speaking subjects or elements of school (e.g., Gifford, et al., 2009, de Heer, et al., 2015). Our paper adds to this body of research with respect specifically to care, indicating that 'care' for refugee and migrant students at school is likely to rely (at least at first) on ensuring that students have ways to communicate and share their knowledge and expertise in the school environment (see also Baak, 2016). Indeed, this was specifically reflected in the second theme taken from the interviews and photo elicitation with students: 'A caring environment is one in which students can see that their identities and experiences are reflected in school practice', which indicated that care for students with refugee or migrant backgrounds is likely to revolve heavily around their ability to see themselves as central to the broader school community, rather than only on the periphery of it.

In terms of the analysis of teacher responses, it is notable that teachers' responses typically highlighted the role of both individual student-teacher relationships, and the role of the whole school of institutional community. This reflects previously research which has noted the importance of whole-school and community approaches to providing care for children (Keddie, 2012; Pugh, et al., 2012), and again reflects the central importance of relationships between students and their teachers. The teachers frequently discuss the role of teachers in understanding student behaviour – particularly when trauma may be involved – and noted that such understandings required specialist knowledge (and see Baak, 2016 for a discussion of this issue specifically in relation to punishment at school). Indeed, previous research has suggested that support and training for teachers in relation to trauma may be an important element in providing appropriate education to students with refugee

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

backgrounds (Cassity and Gow, 2005; Woods, 2008), and we would suggest here that this is similarly the case with care. As such, if student-teacher relationships play the central role in care that we suggest here, training and support for teachers become a crucial aspect of providing care for refugee and migrant students in the school context.

Taken together, our research indicates that 'care' may look somewhat different for students with refugee or migrant backgrounds, and their teachers - albeit with some overlap. Our findings indicate that for students, care revolved around relationships in which they felt safe, valued and connected, and spaces in which they felt they could contribute their knowledge and values. For teachers, care for children with migrant or refugee backgrounds involved relationships in which teachers understood the support needs of students (with specific emphasis on the impact of trauma), and spaces where students felt involved and supported. These definitions primarily reflect existing definitions of care in the broader literature, which, as noted in the introduction, has typically focused on care at an organisational level, the importance of meeting individual needs, and enabling people to do well in their environment (e.g., see Steckley and Smith, 2011; Barnes, 2007; Holland, 2010). It is note-worthy that while teachers focused on recognising and correctly understanding student behaviours, the students themselves looked for aspects of the school in which they could flourish and contribute – indicating that it was these aspects which made them feel as sense of 'care'. This reflects previous research findings by Noddings (2015) and Velasquez and colleagues (2013), which similarly indicates that care in schools must reflect students' individual strengths and needs. As such, we argue – like Matthews (2008) – that for schools to play a central role in the care of students with refugee or migrant backgrounds, they must be able to recognise their strengths as well as areas in which they may need further support or guidance.

While this research has been able to provide some working understandings of how care may look for refugee or migrant students and their teachers, it is not without its limitations. These include the relatively small sample sizes for both groups of participants, and the specific context of the research (that is, the IELP). As such, the

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

understandings of care provided in this paper may not be indicative of the experiences

of all students with refugee or migrant backgrounds or their teachers. Furthermore,

while we did not note any differences in relation to the themes along the lines of age

amongst the students, further research is required which specifically aims to explore

whether age impacts definitions of care; particularly given the large age range of

participants in this paper. In addition, while we have proposed some potential

understandings of care in the school context, these definitions overlap with other

constructs - particularly that of 'support' - and thus future research which aims to

explicitly explore the concept of care for this group of young people is required.

Nevertheless, in providing some preliminary exploration of how care might look for

students with refugee or migrant backgrounds, we hope to contribute to their care at

school, particularly in ensuring that schools are able to provide care which focuses not

only on their needs for support and assistance, but also on the positive contribution and

central role that these groups of children can play in the school environment.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Kaurna people on whose lands we live and work in South Australia.

We would also like to acknowledge all the children and teachers who gave up their time to complete this research with us – we have learnt and experienced so much as a result

of their generosity.

Financial Support

This research was funded by the Australian Research Council, grant number

DP110100732.

Conflict of Interests

None

References

Baak, M. (2016). 'Now that I've come to Australia I don't get hit and I'm happy for that':

Student-teacher relationships and discipline for students from Refugee backgrounds. In

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia

Copyright Cambridge University Press

A. Sullivan, B. Johnson & B. Lucas (Eds.), *Challenging dominant views on student behaviour at school: Answering back* (pp. 145-161). Singapore: Springer.

Baker, J. A. (2006). Contributions of teacher–child relationships to positive school adjustment during elementary school. *Journal of School Psychology*, *44*, 211-229.

Barnes, V. (2007). Young people's views of children's rights and advocacy services: a case for caring advocacy? *Child Abuse Review*, *16*(3), 140-52.

Bedir, H. (2010). Teachers' beliefs on strategies based instruction in EFL classes of young learners. *Procedia Social and Behavioural Sciences*, *2*, 5208-5211.

Block, K., Cross, S., Riggs, E., & Gibbs, L. (2014). Supporting schools to create an inclusive environment for refugee students. *International Journal of Inclusive Education 18*, 1337-1355.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3,* 77-101.

Brown, J., Miller, J., & Mitchell, J. (2006). Interrupted schooling and the acquisition of literacy: Experiences of Sudanese refugees in Victorian secondary schools. *Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 29(2), 150-162.

Cassity, E. & Gow, G. (2005). Making up for lost time: The experiences of South Sudanese young refugees in high schools. *Youth Studies Australia*, *24*(3), 51-55.

Chapman, R.L., Buckley, L., Sheehan, M., & Shochet, I. (2013). School-based programs for increasing connectedness and reducing risk behavior: a systematic review. *Educational Psychology Review*, *25*(1), 95-114.

Correa-Velez, I., Gifford, S. M., & Barnett, A. G. (2010). Longing to belong: Social inclusion and wellbeing among youth with refugee backgrounds in the first three years in Melbourne, Australia. *Social Science and Medicine*, *71*, 1399-1408.

Crivello, G., Camfield, L., & Woodhead, M. (2009). How can children tell us about their wellbeing? Exploring the potential of participatory research approaches within young lives. *Social Indicators of Resilience*, *90*, 51-72. doi: 10.1007/s11205-008-9312-x

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

Darbyshire, P., MacDougall C. & Schiller, W. (2005). Multiple methods in qualitative research with children, more research or just more? *Qualitative Research*, *5*, 417-436.

de Heer, N., Due, C. & Riggs, D.W. (2016). It will be hard because I will have to learn lots of English": Experiences of education for children with migrant backgrounds in Australia. *The International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 29(3).

Due, C., Riggs, D.W., & Augoustinos, M. (2014). Research with children of migrant and refugee background: a review of child-centered research. *Child Indicators Research*, 7, 209-27.

Due, C., Riggs, D.W., & Mandara, M. (2015). Educators' experiences of working in Intensive English Language Programs: The strengths and challenges of specialised English language classrooms for students with migrant and refugee backgrounds. *The Australian Journal of Education*, 59(2).

Due, C. & Riggs, D.W. (2011). Freedom to roam? Space use in primary schools with New Arrivals Programs. *Online Journal of International Research in Early Childhood Education*, *2*, 1-16.

Dockett, S., & Perry, B. (2004). What makes a successful transition to school? Views of Australian parents and teachers. *International Journal of Early Years Education*, *12*, 217-230. doi: 10.1080/0966976042000268690

Ehntholt, K., Smith, P., & Yule, W. (2005). School-based cognitive behavior therapy group intervention for refugee children who have war-related trauma. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *10*(2), 235-250.

Gifford, S., Bakopanos, C., Kaplan, I. & Correa-Velez, I. (2007). Meaning or measurement? Researching the social contexts of health and settlement among newly-arrived refugee youth in Melbourne, Australia. *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 20(3), 414-440.

Gifford, S., Correa-Velez, I., Sampson, R., 2009. In: Good Starts for recently arrived youth with refugee backgrounds: promoting wellbeing in the first three years of settlement in Melbourne, Australia. Refugee Health Research Centre & Victorian Foundation for Survivors of Torture, Melbourne

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

Gilligan, R. (2000). The key role of social workers in promoting the well-being of children in state care—a neglected dimension of reforming policies. *Children & Society,* 14. 267-76

Hamre, B., & Pianta, R. (2005). Can instructional and emotional support in the first-grade classroom make a difference for children at risk of school failure? *Child Development*, 76, 949-967. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2005.00889.x

Held, V. (2006). *The ethics of care: personal, political, global*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Isik-Ercan, A. (2015). Being Muslim and American: Turkish-American children negotiating their religious identities in school settings. *Race, Ethnicity and Education,* 18(2).

Keddie, A. (2012). Refugee education and justice issues of representation, redistribution and recognition. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 42(2), 197-212.

Klem, A. & Connel, J. (2004). Relationships matter: linking teacher support to student engagement and achievement. *Journal of School Health*, *74*, 262-273.

Martin, J. A., Reaume, K. M., Reeves, E. M., & Wright, R. D. (2012). Relationship building with students and instructors of ESL: Bridging the gap for library instruction and services. *Reference Services Review*, 40 (3), 352-367.

Matthews, J. (2008). Schooling and settlement: Refugee education in Australia. *International Studies in Sociology of Education*, 18 (1), 31-45.

Monchinski, T. (2010). *Education in hope: critical pedagogies and the ethics of care*. New York: Peter Laing.

Newbigging, K., & Thomas, N. (2011). Good practice in social care for refugee and asylum-seeking children. *Child Abuse Review*, *20*, 374-390.

Noddings, N. (1992). The Challenge to Care in Schools. Teachers College Press.

Noddings, N. (2013). *Caring: A Relational Approach to Ethics and Moral Education*. University of California Press.

Ogbu, J. 1978. Minority Education and Caste. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press

Pugh, K., Every, D., & Hattam, R. (2012). Inclusive education for students with refugee experience: whole school reform in a South Australian primary school. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 39 (2), 125–141.

Ryan, A., & Patrick, H. (2001). The classroom social environment and changes in adolescents' motivation and engagement during middle school. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(2), 437-460.

Steckley, L. & Smith, M. (2011). Care ethics in residential child care: a different voice. *Ethics and Social Welfare*, *5*(2), 181-95.

Taylor, S., & Sidhu, R K. (2012). Supporting refugee students in schools: What constitutes inclusive education? *International Journal of Inclusive Education* 16(1): 39–56.

Velasquez, A., West, R., Graham, C., & Osguthorpe, R. (2013). Developing caring relationships in schools: A review of the research on caring and nurturing pedagogies. *Review of Education*, *1*(2), 162-190.

Walton, J., Priest, N., & Paradies, Y. (2013). Identifying and developing effective approaches to foster intercultural understanding in schools. *Intercultural Education*, 24 (3), 181-194.

Whiteman, R. (2005). Welcoming the stranger: A qualitative analysis of teachers' views regarding the integration of refugee pupils in schools in Newcastle upon Tyne. *Educational Studies*, *31*(4), 375-391.

Woods, A. (2009). Learning to be literate: Issues of pedagogy for recently arrived refugee youth in Australia. *Critical Inquiry in Language Studies, 6*, 81-101.

Zine, J. (2006). Unveiled sentiments: Gendered Islamophobia and experiences of veiling among Muslim girls in a Canadian Islamic school. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 39(3), 239–252.

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of a paper published in Children Australia Copyright Cambridge University Press