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Qoon gvening, listaners:- For ope consicotaje the now prominence has been given to the various segecta of pifsation policy. wost people, I think. uill gege that pur pogistion shoula be aug-
 opporfanity to erate that the Jabor paeta it in avour of briagime
 modate. i manaize this ract becauce in mone quartero at ban been aldeg that we pre opose to mantion and that ge are aposed to it purgly on the ground that $1 t$ oond resiatitn the peaction in bageo

 - Jexare
 especially - to make alecate wovibion for emplogment, housing and other services not oniy ion cur esn gobaing population but sieo for the Feople who are being brought into the country.
-2-
 for the unsatisiactory position the tas cevelopod in this connection. The covernent hes wen in orflee for over nans yeare, curdag wheh it bes exercinef ide power to atermine mightion policy, but its policy sects to beve been cormine to the nere brocesees of bringing migriote fito sustralis, tith littie on no consideration of the problems of their aborption. The federal fovernment is quite content ta thint merely in terms of hom many migrants it bripe out, leavins to the state covernments the responbibility of proviaing employment, ete. ut the other rand, se belleve that magation policy should not be deteratoed Hedepadently of the problems tadt inevitably arise irom a rapte incresee in popolation; ark, se you knon, the fucrease thot

 future. forever, it le rafty obyous that our ovistate oovernment 10 the least qualified to dscharge the responability which the ped-
eral eavemmong has pacea hion it. Ge have veen repeatediy re-
 increnasingexcece that of any or tho other sitate; se if that were the only eriterion; bot $\%$ thintyou will agee thot it nesely emphasLRee the neec fer ofecial efforte to gromote the derclopront of the State to meet the impecent denenda reaulting frof that fact. " That We need io e compebeneftre, pvor-all plin, but that is the last thing qu can expet from the plafford Coyemment.

It is aonleful whether the fagtora opvernment has ever contemplate makiag a bona ilide reaponse te the challenge of ragidy increpsing poyulation. In ecucgtion, for example, it nas, during the $200 t$ fen yoars, made frantic erforta to ramair the danage that ito own snaction brought oboug. Aevesel yeary aso it knew - or shoula have known - wha the pesition poula be noz but it had no plan it merely patchea up where it thought the situstion gas wore than elsewhere. Having neglectea the matter for ou longg it found toelf benet with difficulties which it woula heve evoided if it had exere-
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1sad reascnable prestght ten or trelve yeara ago. Its natural tend docy to do the wrong thang hat been further asgrevated by the policy 1t has oince colloned of builaing larger and lager schoole. Everyhne knows that n Echnol shonid not be more that a ceptasn bize - vhen it growe too etg it connot be efficiently managed or superviaed. But, of course, the pleyford fovernment lanot eo much interented in promoting public education ns In moking sure the pubjic pecelves the impreseion that the covernment is ainat the best thing poesible for education: As a ratter of fact, the oovernment io melying on the hope qutravagance
that pacile will not nutibe the axpmaz involved in apending 2500,000 the eaucativnal anomaly of/ on one gchoey and conterplating an surolaent of 1,800 papils.

What I have been discinsing le only one aepect sf the Govern-
 populotson. gho provision of genoole has gravitated into the game cotegory as most other aetivities of the gevernment - eo long 88 nn

much pore then the copgival eatimote, inclecutsity - the playfora ouergment apmarently thinke it heg the onober to ony problers but 1 oincerely hoze that the beople nill not mach Ionger be decearea in thio ubs
 is conmistent with the premiers ceisberate poliey of concentrating population in the metropolitan area. Indeed, under the pretence of

 thereby comelyng repale ts live in that areae the prapalel bolicy of ancoumginz the aggregation of land. In the country hes alao compeljea mons who ab bot onn lend theme co gravitate to the metropolitan area in searoh of employment. $\therefore$ Unier the gulaing hana of the premier,
 or lese in proportion to the incresec in total posulation, has even decilned; and the proportion of people who moy oe claseified ae omployees among the cotntrypopalation has acereased alarmingly. all this mould te bag enough, of course, zf our total populeition gere not
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increacimetic rapiozy ag it les but it in aerious in view of the actual facta.

The concentration ot ponuletion in the netropolitan area has created tremendous probemo in that area. ae navereferped to these problems over nicu over again. In sidition, many of our country certres nave been nocmea almost to ertincion in nruer to agtiofy the Promier's appotion for particular schemes mhtoh have littie or no besring on the devoleppont ow the btate for poductive gurposes and Whach are certainiy not prometne the klre of development necessary to accomodete our pacialy growng yopulation. xhat soxt of progress can
 orso if praetically all the aomalled ovelopent mataron place in the metropolitan sxea? $\therefore$ on the other brna, fobor policy bas alwaya been to develep our country rentues - deenve orged theoternment time and the again ta give etotescandito consideration to oome plan of de-


State and at the same the mantaifinc durance our rumal centres, thus enabling them to support amenities and services when they cannot dupe port now. But every time we have been met with opgoidtion which we can only regard ag being actuated by petty and surorthy motives. We know very well that there cannot be any real development in our rural areas unless we adopt an entirely new approach to the basis oi land ownership; but, of course, on Ko C. T. Government, which pelias princepaly on the lond-owners in the country for its majority in raviloment, mill never espouse a policy calculated to disturb the intexesta it represents. Whenever we hove spoken of decentralisation nad closer setclement, we gave been net with tbigeont or absurd objection on the pact of the smiter (quote): It is quite obvious that with no sources of fresh water available ana wi the high coat of canting mater Long distance a, it monde be haposible to establish large concentrations of population in areas th rainalai of leas tran six inches: (unquote) I need hardly may that we were hinging of centres within the good painfall areas, mare, incidentally, the lend is owned under the monopol-
istic system Bor which the premier and his party stand. In order to
 disguise the real reason for opposing decentralization and closer settlement of our rural areas, the premefresorto to unbecoming ridicule of som proposal which hag nothing to do with the subject.

The fact is, of course, that the premier has no interest in the real development of the state he is onifinterested in his own particular projects, which by the may; nave cost the people an enormous mount of money tout afiopange proportionate development of the State in the acne I have mentioned. forexapoo, the porer atations at Port AuGusta, where more ban blot is th be spent, are largely ion the purpose ot providing the metropolitan area fifth electricity - they are not in any sense en example of decentralisation -and it may be noted that they are equated inadistriet which has always been Labor. This is, indeed, the basic object or Co Co policy - to ensure, as far possible, the preservation of the status quo in the political sphere. Everything else ie suboranatea to that. . Meanwhile; we
have the absuraity of about 62 per cent of the total population being
scattered/taruughodi/ herdea in the metropolitan area and 38 per cent xa the restiof the

## State.

Another example of the prsmier'b attempt to eveae the iseue is to be seen in a reply he gave two years ago to lebor's motion for the appointment of ofoyll comiseion to inguire into decentralization. He gtoted the number of hovec buith by the fousing Trust as wroof of his Governent's endeaveurs to arrest the arfit of populstion frot the country. He said that up to thet time 7,830 i.0uses had been built in 157 localitiee outsiae the uetrapulitan area amb no dobt interaed these sigures to be impressive. on the tace of them they vese impressive they repesented an average of about 50 nouses fer locality - but; on analyeis, I found thet 5,261 of these houses gere built in soven loc-
 usta west, fort firie andwhalla - and bearig haif of the houses were built at illizabeth and saliubury? winle the seven locallties mendione
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averaged 750 houses each, the other $15020 c a l 2$ ties averaged 17 of course, nomene now seriously regards salistury and miszabeth as outside the metropolitan area; and there is no doubt that if the realer is given the opportunity in the near future, he wiliz incopporate them ofricially in the aetropolitan area for eiectoraz purposes.

In any case, the nere building of houses in a rusal locality is houses not evideuce of decentralizatione It is no good providing waxasem if the reople who are to occupy them cannot find employment in the distric That 18 the real test; ana in this reapact the playford gove mament. has falled entirely simply becauserit is so imbued with the idea of retaining fts political strangleholu over onf rural arees. labor, on the other hana, has plans for the closer settienent of those areas ana the egtablighment of indugries which. will not only promote the developient of the coutry centres but also assist in the solution of toe various jroblems essooiatea with our rapiuly erowing eppulation. Hithout some such plan our troubles are likely to be vary much aggrav-


